

DRAFT



Proposed Namespace Manager Procedures

Dr. Scott Renner

24 August 2001

DRAFT



What a NS Manager Should Do

DII-AF Chief Architects' Office

- **Seven activities as a namespace manager**
 - Organize cooperation forums
 - Create sub-namespaces as needed
 - Collect requests for “operational” status
 - Collect nominations for Enterprise NS
 - Collect requests for Deconfliction
 - Collect complaints
 - Operate the NS website
- **Assumptions and motivations**
 - Bottom-up, market-driven approach
 - Facilitate cooperation, not mandate compliance

**Aerospace Operations NSM
intends to use these procedures**



Organize Cooperation Forums

DII-AF Chief Architects' Office

- **Purpose: a place for people across organizations to form consensus on XML definitions**
- **Collect and publish a list of people who want to cooperate**
 - Name, organization, subject area of interest, etc.
- **Charter cooperation forums as needed**
 - Any two or more participants that want to cooperate
 - Self-nominating
 - Probably they find each other through the list
 - Subject-area redundancy discouraged, not forbidden
 - Use common sense to choose the forum chair
 - Post participant list, meeting times & places, etc.



Create Sub-Namespace As Needed

DII-AF Chief Architects' Office

- **Sub-namespaces for governance**
 - These seem to correspond to cooperation forums
 - Place to store the agreed definitions from a forum
 - May delegate NSM responsibilities to the forum
- **Sub-namespaces for disambiguation**
 - These seem to correspond to the technical meaning of NS
 - Can't have duplicate XML components within a namespace

Collect Requests for “Operational” Status

DRAFT



DII-AF Chief Architects' Office

- **Anyone can put XML components into the registry**
 - But only the NSM can mark them “operational”
 - Will make this decision upon request
- **Successful requests will include:**
 - List of organizations that have agreed to the definitions
 - List of systems that accept conforming XML documents
 - List of systems that produce conforming XML documents
 - Are the producing systems considered an authoritative source of this information?
- **Successful requests will often come from cooperation forums**
- **Successful requests typically won't include DTDs**
 - We want to see XML Schema

DRAFT

Collect Nominations for Enterprise NS

DRAFT



DII-AF Chief Architects' Office

- **Widely-used XML components may belong in the Enterprise NS**
- **NS Manager proposes candidates to NSM Forum**
- **Will carry nominations forward upon request**
- **Successful requests will include:**
 - **List of components nominated for Enterprise NS**
 - **List of organizations that support nomination**
 - **List of other namespaces examined for conflicting components**
 - **List of other Namespace Managers that have coordinated on the request**
 - **List of possibly-redundant components discovered**

DRAFT



Collect Requests for Deconfliction

DII-AF Chief Architects' Office

- **Conflict:** Different XML components with the same meaning
 - Not as bad as different meanings for the same component
- **Can resolve conflict by**
 - Removing “operational” status from some components
 - Moving components into different namespaces
 - Will make these decisions upon request
- **Successful requests will include:**
 - Correlated list of XML components believed redundant
 - Suggested change to resolve the conflict
 - List of organizations that agree with request
- **Need to document deconfliction actions in the Registry**



Collect Complaints

DII-AF Chief Architects' Office

- **Kinds of complaints accepted:**
 - Inadequate documentation of XML components
Not good enough for me to figure out what it means
 - Incorrect use of registered definitions
You said you're using definition X... but you *aren't*
- **Successful complaints will include:**
 - Statement of problem, suggested resolution
 - Organization that needs to make the change
 - Statement that you've already tried to work it out with them
 - List of organizations that agree with complaint
- **NSM action on successful complaint may include:**
 - Posting on web site
 - Removing "operational" status from components with inadequate documentation
 - Forwarding to cognizant milestone decision authority

DRAFT



Operate the NS Website

DII-AF Chief Architects' Office

- **Post information on the site**
- **Collect information through the site**
- **Don't use e-mail for this**

DRAFT



What's Missing?

DII-AF Chief Architects' Office

- **HL-7 (like) procedures for some forums/namespaces**
 - Useful when many peer systems communicate
 - Needed for test/certification of XML semantics
- **Recording semantics in ontologies**
 - A place for DARPA Agent Markup Language (DAML)
- **Resolving technical NS vs. governance NS**
What about multiple definitions for the same identifier?
 - Governance NS must accommodate this
 - Technical NS intended precisely to avoid this
 - Registry “version number” seems overloaded here